JOURNAL OF APPROXIMATION THEORY 35, 214-221 (1982)

A Cohen-Type Inequality for Jacobi Expansions and Divergence of Fourier Series on Compact Symmetric Spaces

B. DRESELER

Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Siegen, D-5900 Siegen, West Germany

AND

P. M. Soardi

Istituto Matematico, Universita di Milano, 1-20133 Milano, Italy

Communicated by G. Meinardus

Received April 1, 1981

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATIONS

In a recent paper [4] we proved a Cohen-type inequality for ultraspherical series which implies divergence theorems for ultraspherical expansions and spherical harmonic expansions on the real sphere $S_{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to arbitrary groupings of the degrees. In the following we extend the Cohentype inequality to the larger class of Jacobi polynomials and we also get an estimate in the case of the critical endpoints of the Pollard interval $(\frac{4\alpha+4}{2\alpha+4})$ of L^p boundedness with respect to the natural grouping. Since the zonal spherical function on rank one symmetric spaces X of compact type can be viewed as Jacobi polynomials $R_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ with suitable $\alpha, \beta \ge -\frac{1}{2}$, Corollary 2 in [4] can be proved for this larger class of spaces. Let us mention that the proof of the divergence result in [10] is only correct for the case of the canonical grouping which is a corollary of an old theorem of Nicolaev [2]. A correct proof of the results in [10] follows from our Cohentype inequality in the special case p = 1.

In the following we assume $\alpha \ge \beta \ge -1/2$, $\alpha > -1/2$. The results are completely analogous if $\alpha < \beta$. For x in [-1, 1] let $R_m = R_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be the Jacobi polynomial of degree m, defined by

$$R_{m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = {}_{2}F_{1}[-m, m+\alpha+\beta+1; \alpha+1; (1-x)/2]$$

= $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-m)_{k} (m+\alpha+\beta+1)_{k}}{k! (\alpha+1)_{k}} \left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^{k},$ (1.1)

where $(a)_k = \Gamma(k+a)/\Gamma(a)$. The Jacobi polynomials are orthogonal in $L^p_w = L^p_{w^{\alpha,\beta}}(-1, 1), \ 1 \le p < \infty$, where

$$||f||_{p} = \left\{ \int_{-1}^{1} |f(x)|^{p} w^{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \right\}^{1/p}$$

and

$$w(x) = w^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (1-x)^{\alpha} (1+x)^{\beta}.$$

As usual $||f||_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess sup}_{x \in [-1,1]} |f(x)|$, and $f \in L^1_w$ has the expansion

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m(f) h_m R_m$$

with

$$c_m(f) = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) R_m(x) w(x) dx$$

and

$$h_{m} = h_{m}^{\alpha,\beta} = \left(\int_{-1}^{1} [R_{m}(x)]^{2} w(x) dx \right)^{-1}$$
$$= \frac{(2m + \alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma(m + \alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma(m + \alpha + 1)}{2^{\alpha + \beta + 1} \Gamma(m + \beta + 1) \Gamma(m + 1) \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}.$$

Denote by * the usual convolution product in L^1_w [12]. For k in L^1_w let T: $L^p_w \to L^p_w$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, denote the convolutor Tf = k * f, $f \in L^p_w$, and set $||k||_p = ||T||_p = \sup_{|f||_p = 1} ||Tf||_p$.

2. A COHEN-TYPE INEQUALITY

THEOREM. Let $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_N$ be natural numbers and $c_{n_1}, c_{n_2}, \dots, c_{n_N}$ be complex numbers with $|c_{n_N}| \ge 1$. Then

$$\left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{n_{j}} h_{n_{j}} R_{n_{j}}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \right\| \right\|_{p} \\ \geqslant M_{p,\alpha,\beta} \begin{cases} (n_{N})^{(2\alpha+2)/p-(2\alpha+3)/2}, & 1 \leq p < \frac{4\alpha+4}{2\alpha+3}, \\ (\log n_{N})^{1-1/p}, & p = \frac{4\alpha+4}{2\alpha+3}, \\ (\log n_{N})^{1/p}, & p = \frac{4\alpha+4}{2\alpha+1}, \\ (n_{N})^{(2\alpha+1)/2-(2\alpha+2)/p}, & \frac{4\alpha+4}{2\alpha+1} < p \leq \infty, \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

where $M_{p,\alpha,\beta}$ is a constant which only depends on p, α and β .

For the proof of the theorem we need the following three lemmas. Lemma 3 allows the reduction of the problem to good L^p norm estimates from below of the Jacobi polynomials $R_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}$. Lemmas 1 and 2 give the L_p norm estimates.

LEMMA 1. For each polynomial t_N on \mathbb{R} of degree less than or equal to $N = 0, 1, 2, ..., and 1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ one has

$$||t_N||_q \leq \operatorname{const}_{p,q,\alpha} N^{(2\alpha+2)(1/p-1/q)} ||t_N||_p.$$
(2.2)

LEMMA 2. For $p_0 = (4\alpha + 4)/(2\alpha + 1)$ one gets

$$\|R_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|_{p_0} \ge \operatorname{const}_{\alpha,\beta} (\log m)^{1/p_0} m^{-\alpha - 1/2}.$$
(2.3)

LEMMA 3. There exists a sequence $(f_N^{\alpha,\beta})_{N=1}^{\infty}$ of continuous functions on [-1, 1] with

- (i) $||f_N^{\alpha,\beta}||_{\infty} \leq 1 \ (N \in \mathbb{N}),$
- (ii) $c_m(f_N^{\alpha,\beta}) = 0$ for $m \leq N$ $(m, N \in \mathbb{N})$,
- (iii) $c_N(f_N^{\alpha,\beta}) \sim N^{-\alpha-1/2} \ (N \to \infty).$

Proof of Lemma 1. The proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 1 in [4] in the case $\alpha = \beta$. We include the proof then only for completeness.

Let $D_l^{\alpha,\beta}$ be the Dirichlet kernel $\sum_{m=0}^{l} h_m R_m$. Then one has $D_l^{\alpha,\beta} * t_l = t_l$ for each polynomial of degree less than or equal to l and by the inequality of Cauchy-Schwarz one gets

$$\|t_l\|_{\infty} \leq \|D_l^{\alpha,\beta}\|_2 \|t_l\|_2.$$
(2.4)

Choose r as the least even number greater than or equal to p. Then $t_N^{r/2}$ is a polynomial of degree less than or equal to Nr/2. From (2.4) we have

$$\|t_N^{r/2}\|_{\infty} \leq \|D_{rN/2}^{\alpha,\beta}\|_2 \left[\int_{-1}^1 |t_N(x)|^r w(x) dx\right]^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \|D_{rN/2}^{\alpha,\beta}\|_2 \|t_N\|_{\infty}^{(r-p)/2} \|t_N\|_p^{p/2}.$$

Since $h_m^{\alpha,\beta} \sim m^{2\alpha+1} \ (m \to \infty)$ one gets

$$\|D_{rN/2}^{\alpha,\beta}\|_2 = \left(\sum_{m=0}^{rN/2} h_m\right)^{1/2} \leq \operatorname{const}_{\alpha} N^{\alpha+1}.$$

Thus we have proved (2.2) for $q = \infty$. Hence we get

$$\|t_N\|_q^q = \int_{-1}^{1} |t_N(x)|^{q-p} |t_N(x)|^p w(x) dx$$

$$\leq c_{p,q,\alpha} N^{(2\alpha+2)(1/p)(q-p)} \|t_N\|_p^{(q-p)} \|t_N\|_p^p$$

which implies (2.2).

Proof of Lemma 2. R. Askey informed the authors that Lemma 2 had been included by him as a problem in the fourth edition of Szegö's book [12, Problem 91]. Thus, we dropped the proof which follows from computations with Szegö's asymptotic formula [12, Theorem 8.21.13].

Proof of Lemma 3. Let *M* denote the least odd integer greater than or equal to $2\alpha + 1$. Let l = N + M, N = 1, 2, ... Define $f_N^{\alpha,\beta}: [-1, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_N^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\varphi) = \sin l\varphi \sin^{M-(2\alpha+1)}\frac{\varphi}{2}\cos^{M-(2\beta+1)}\frac{\varphi}{2}, \qquad 0 \leqslant \varphi \leqslant \pi.$$

Then (i) is clear and one has

$$c_m(f_N^{\alpha,\beta}) = \int_0^\pi R_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cos\varphi) f_N^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\varphi) \left(\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{2\alpha+1} \left(\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{2\beta+1} d\varphi$$
$$= \int_0^\pi R_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cos\varphi) \sin l\varphi \left(\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^M d\varphi$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^M} \int_0^\pi R_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cos\varphi) \sin l\varphi \sin^M \varphi \, d\varphi.$$

Elementary computations show that

$$\sin l\varphi \sin^M \varphi = \sum_{s=l-M}^{l+M} q_s \cos s\varphi$$

with suitable coefficients q_s . In particular $q_{l-M} = 1/2(2i)^{M-1}$. Hence the lowest appearing frequency in the cosine expansion of $\sin l\varphi \sin^M \varphi$ is N = l - M. Now from (1.1) we get

$$R_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cos\varphi) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(-m)_k (m+\alpha+\beta+1)_k}{k! (\alpha+1)_k} \sin^{2k} \frac{\varphi}{2}.$$

An easy computation shows

$$\sin^{2k}\frac{\varphi}{2} = \frac{2}{(2i)^{2k}}\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{j} \binom{2k}{j} \cos(k-j) \varphi.$$

Hence

$$R_{m}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cos\varphi) = \frac{2}{(2i)^{2m}} \frac{(-m)_{m} (m+\alpha+\beta+1)_{m}}{m! (\alpha+1)_{m}} \cos m\varphi + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{k,m} \cos k\varphi.$$

This shows $c_m(f_N^{\alpha,\beta}) = 0$ for m < N and

$$c_N(f_N^{\alpha,\beta}) = \operatorname{const}_M \frac{(-N)_N (N+\alpha+\beta+1)_N}{2^{2N} N! (\alpha+1)_N}.$$

From the duplication formula of the gamma function and the well-known behaviour of the gamma function at infinity one gets $c_N(f_N^{\alpha,\beta}) \sim N^{-\alpha-1/2}$.

Proof of the Theorem. One gets, with the testing function of Lemma 3 and $\tilde{N} = n_N$,

$$\left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{n_j} h_{n_j} R_{n_j} \right\| \right\|_{p} \leq \left\| \sum c_{n_j} h_{n_j} R_{n_j} * f_{\tilde{N}}^{\alpha,\beta} \right\|_{p}$$
$$= |c_{\tilde{N}}| h_{\tilde{N}} |c_{\tilde{N}}(f_{\tilde{N}}^{\alpha,\beta})| \|R_{\tilde{N}}\|_{p}$$
$$\geq \operatorname{const}_{\alpha,\beta} \tilde{N}^{2\alpha+1} \tilde{N}^{-\alpha-1/2} \|R_{\tilde{N}}\|_{p}$$

as $h_{\tilde{N}} \sim \tilde{N}^{2\alpha+1}$ and $|c_{\tilde{N}}| \ge 1$. We assume $\frac{4\alpha+4}{2\alpha+1} \le p \le \infty$. For $1 \le p \le \frac{4\alpha+4}{2\alpha+3}$ the assumption follows by duality. Now, for $p = \frac{4\alpha+4}{2\alpha+1}$ apply Lemma 2 and for $p > \frac{4\alpha+4}{2\alpha+1}$ apply Lemma 1 with $q = \infty$ and $t_{\tilde{N}} = R_{\tilde{N}}$. Recall that $||R_{\tilde{N}}||_{\infty} = R_{\tilde{N}}(1) = 1$.

Let us conclude this section with some remarks. In the special case $n_1 = 0, ..., n_N = N - 1$, $c_0 = c_2 = \cdots = c_{N-1} = 1$ and p = 1 one can use the nice expression in [12, (4.5.3)] for the Dirichlet kernel $D_{N-1}^{\alpha,\beta}$ instead of Lemma 3. Let $c_{n_1} = \cdots = c_{n_{N-1}} = 0$ in the Theorem. This shows that in contrast to the situation on the torus ($\alpha = \beta = -1/2$) the growth of the convolutor norm of the Dirichlet kernel in our situation is at least the growth of the convolutor norm of the term $h_{n_N}R_{n_N}$ with the highest frequency n_N .

The estimate in Lemma 2 has been used by Newman and Rudin in [9] but they did not give a proof. The proof of Lemma 1 is analagous to the proof in the trigonometric case in Timan's book [13]. For p = 1, $|c_{n_j}| \ge 1$, j = 1,...,Nand $\alpha = -1/2$ inequality (2.1) is related to the famous conjecture of Littlewood [7] which has recently been proved [8].

3. DIVERGENCE RESULTS

As in [4] we deduce here some divergence results that follow directly by usual technique from our Theorem. An increasing sequence $\{\Sigma_N\}$ of finite subsets Σ_N , N = 1, 2, ..., of \mathbb{N} with $\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_N = \mathbb{N}$ is called a grouping on \mathbb{N} . On L_w^1 the partial sum operator relative to $\{\Sigma_N\}$ is defined by

$$S_N f = \sum_{m \in \Sigma_N} h_m R_m * f.$$

COROLLARY 1. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ with $p \notin (\frac{4(\alpha+1)}{2\alpha+3}, \frac{4(\alpha+1)}{2\alpha+1})$ and for every grouping $\{\Sigma_N\}$ on \mathbb{N} there exists a function $f \in L_W^p$ such that

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty}\|S_Nf\|_p=\infty.$$

For $\Sigma_N = \{0, 1, ..., N\}$ and $p \in (P'_0, P_0)$ Pollard [12] proved the convergence of the Jacobi expansions of L^p_w . Thus Corollary 1 completely settles the problem of divergence and extends the result of Newman and Rudin [9].

As mentioned in the Introduction, the zonal spherical functions on symmetric spaces X of compact type of rank one can be viewed as Jacobi polynomials (cf. [6]). The complete list of the spaces is S^m , $m \ge 2$, $P^m(\mathbb{R})$, $P^m(\mathbb{C})$, $P^m(\mathbb{H})$ and P^2 (Cayley). One has the following table. The zonal spherical function on X can be expressed by the Jacobi polynomials

X	$d = \dim X$	р	q
<i>S</i> ″	n	0	d - 1
$P^{n}(\mathbb{R})$	п	d-1	0
$P^{n}(\mathbb{C})$	2 <i>n</i>	d-2	1
$P^{n}(\mathbb{H})$	4 <i>n</i>	d-4	3
P^2 (Cayley)	16	8	7

TABLE I

 $R_m^{((d-2)/2,(q-1)/2)}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $(\alpha,\beta) = (\frac{d-2}{2}, \frac{q-1}{2})$ the convolution structure of the Jacobi polynomials $R_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is inherited from the corresponding symmetric space X. Denote by $L^p(X)$ the usual L^p space over X with respect to the normalized measure $d\omega$ associated with the volume element corresponding to the Riemanian structure on X. The convolutors S_N above extend to convolutors on $L^p(X)$ which are denoted by the same symbol.

COROLLARY 2. Let X be a symmetric space of compact type and $d = \dim X$. Then for every p, $1 \le p < 2d/(d+1)$, and for every choice of the grouping $\{\Sigma_N\}$ there exists a function $f \in L^p(X)$ such that

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} |S_N f(x)| = \infty$$

for $d\omega$ almost all x in X.

In the case p = 1 the result follows with well-known elementary arguments from the Theorem. Theorem 3 in [10] is a similar easy consequence of our Theorem with p = 1. In the case 1 Corollary 2 is a consequence of Corollary 1 and a result of Stein [11]. In contrast to Corollary 1,a much stronger result than Corollary 2 is known in the case of the $canonical groupin <math>\Sigma_N = \{0, 1, ..., N\}$. In this case the result is true for p < 2 = $\lim_{d\to\infty} 2d/(d+1) = 2$. This follows from a result of Fefferman [5] combined with the Passage theorem of Bonami and Clerc [1] and the result of Stein [11] used above. Some of the results in this paper have recently been extended by the first author to compact symmetric spaces of arbitrary rank [3].

References

- 1. A. BONAMI AND CLERC, Sommes de Cesàro et multiplicateurs des développements en harmoniques sphériques, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 183 (1973), 223-263.
- 2. E. W. CHENEY, "Introduction to Approximation Theory," McGraw-Hill, New York/St. Louis, 1966.
- 3. B. DRESELER, Norms of zonal spherical functions and Fourier series on compact symmetric spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 44 (1981), 74-86.
- 4. B. DRESELER AND P. M. SOARDI, A Cohen type inequality for ultraspherical series, Arch. Math., to appear.
- 5. C. FEFFERMAN, The multiplier problem for the ball, Ann. Math. 94 (1971), 330-336.
- R. GANGOLLI, Positive definite kernels on homogeneous spaces and certain stochastic processes related to Lévy's Brownian motion of several parameters, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B 3, No. 2 (1967), 121-225.
- 7. G. HARDY AND J. LITTLEWOOD, A new proof of a theorem on rearrangements, J. London Math. Soc. 23 (1948), 163-168.

220

- 8. O. C. MCGEHEE, L. PIGNO, AND B. SMITH, Hardy's inequality and the Littlewood conjecture, submitted.
- 9. J. NEW MAN AND W. RUDIN, Mean convergence of orthogonal series, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 219-222.
- 10. W. SCHEMPP, Approximation on compact Riemannian globally symmetric manifolds of rank one, J. Approx. Theory 21 (1977), 236-245.
- 11. E. M. STEIN, On limits of sequences of operators, Ann. Math. 74 (1961), 140-170.
- 12. G. SZEGÖ, "Orthogonal Polynomials," AMS Coll. Publ., Providence, R.I., 1975.
- 13. A. F. TIMAN, "Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable," Macmillan, New York, 1963.